

Review of evidence in roles and consequences of induction of labor

Khalid khadawardi

Abstract:

Induction of labor is a common obstetric procedure, and is indicated when the benefits to either mother or fetus outweigh those of continuing the pregnancy. This article gives a review of the trends of induction of labor, medical indications and criteria, associated risks and highlight cervical evaluation (Bishop score) at the time of initiation is the best independent predictor of induction success. We conducted a search using electronic databases; MEDLINE, EMBASE, and Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL), up to November, 2017. Search strategies used following MeSH terms in searching via these databases: "induction of labor", "pregnancy consequences", "strategies". Elective IOL should only be performed in rare or extraordinary situations, only when the mother has completed 39 weeks of pregnancy, and only when it would be beneficial to the mother and not cause damage to the newborn. IOL should not be performed for reasons of convenience for the provider. Complying with evidence-based medical indications and the diagnostic requirements for illness conditions are paramount to reducing the nation's high IOL rate. Elective labor inductions must rarely be performed, and pregnancy care providers are encouraged to work with institutional quality teams to remove elective IOL before 39 weeks' pregnancy. The need to promote health literacy and healthy way of lives is a fundamental part of midwives' specialist mission. Additionally, educating females about

the lifetime favorable effects of physiologic birth practices on the maternal infant dyad can affect the female's desire towards patience and rely on her ability to deliver without unnecessary intervention.

Introduction:

Hippocrates first described methods for induction of labor (IOL) via mammary stimulation and mechanical cervical extension [1]. From the second century ad onward, experts have utilized approaches such as artificial rupture of membranes and manual extension of the cervix [1]. Much more recent growths, consisting of medications and mechanical gadgets, have offered service providers significantly effective methods of generating labor. At the very same time, inquiries concerning the most proper usage of IOL have emerged. The functions of this review are to check out IOL when it come to the existing patterns; dangers; initiatives to boost high quality, security, and prices of IOL and its effect on the healthcare system; and to make recommendations for the most suitable usage of IOL, including exactly how women are counseled and consented.

The occurrence of labor induction has continued to increase over the past several decades [2]. In industrialized countries, the number of babies delivered at term following induction of labor can be as high as one in 4 deliveries [3], [4]. The World Health Organization (WHO) Global Survey on Maternal and Perinatal Health, conducted in 24 countries that included virtually 3,00,000 monitorings, revealed that 9.6% of them were supplied by labor induction. The study found that African countries have lower rates of induction of labor (lowest: Niger 1.4%) compared to Asian and Latin American countries (highest: Sri Lanka 35.5%) [5].

Induction of labor is a common obstetric procedure, and is indicated when the benefits to either mother or fetus outweigh those of continuing the pregnancy. This article gives a review of the

trends of induction of labor, medical indications and criteria, associated risks and highlight cervical evaluation (Bishop score) at the time of initiation is the best independent predictor of induction success.

Methodology:

We conducted a search using electronic databases; MEDLINE, EMBASE, and Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL), up to November, 2017. Search strategies used following MeSH terms in searching via these databases: “induction of labor”, “pregnancy consequences”, “strategies”. Then we also searched the bibliographies of included studies for further relevant references to our review.

Discussion:

- **Induction of Labor**

Induction of labor refers to artificial stimulation of uterine contractions before the real start of spontaneous labor in order to achieve genital delivery by medical or surgical means. Augmentation of labor refers to boosting the frequency and the intensity of currently existing uterine tightenings in a patient in real labor however advancing inadequately, in order to achieve vaginal delivery.

- **Indications and Contraindication**

For induction of labor, the benefits of early shipment to either mother or unborn child must outweigh the dangers of maternity extension [6]. The indications and contraindications for induction of labor are offered in Tables 1 and 2, specifically. Before labor induction, extensive assessment of the mother's and fetal problem is necessary. Indications and contraindications for induction need to be reviewed. Threats and advantages of labor induction ought to be discussed with the patient and relatives including the threat of cesarean shipment. Verification of gestational age is crucial and fetal lung maturity status ought to be carried out if suggested [6], [7]. A cervical examination needs to be carried out and recorded (Bishop rating). Fetal discussion and placement should be confirmed. Clinical pelvimetry ought to be done and cephalopelvic disproportion (CPD) need to be eliminated. Inning accordance with WHO standards, labor induction need to be performed at a facility, where certified staff and OT centers are offered for cesarean area. Uterine activity and electronic fetal monitoring (EFM) should be provided for all patients going through labor induction.

Table 1. Indications for Labor Induction

Absolute indications Hypertensive disorders: Pre-eclampsia/Eclampsia Postdated pregnancy Premature rupture of membranes Chorioamnionitis Intrauterine growth restriction Fetal complications: Isoimmunization, oligohydramnios, nonreassuring fetal status Maternal medical complications: Diabetes mellitus, renal disease, chronic pulmonary disease Intrauterine fetal death
Relative indications Hypertensive disorders: Chronic hypertension Polyhydramnios Fetal anomalies requiring specialized neonatal care Psychosocial conditions: Previous precipitate labor, distance from hospital Previous stillbirth

Table 2. Contraindications for Labor Induction

Absolute contraindications

Vasa previa or complete placenta previa
Transverse or oblique fetal lie
Umbilical cord prolapse
Prior classical uterine incision or transfundal uterine surgery
Active genital herpes infection
Absolute cephalopelvic disproportion, contracted pelvis

Relative contraindications

Malpresentation (breech)
Cervical carcinoma

• **Prediction of Labor Induction**

Bishop's Score

In 1964, Bishop developed a scoring system to evaluate multiparous females for optional induction at term (Table 3) [8], [9].

The higher the Bishop score, the extra 'ripe' or 'desirable' the cervix is for labor induction. The majority of research studies specify a negative cervix as a Bishop score of 6 or less. The greater risk of cesarean delivery connected with failure of induction in nulliparous females at term with low Bishop score is well established in the literature.

Table 3. Modified Bishop Score [8],[9].

Score	0	1	2	3
Parameter	Closed	1-2	3-4	5 or more
Dilation (cm)				
Effacement (%)	0-30	40-50	60-70	80 or more
Length(cm)	>4	2-4	1-2	1-2
Station	-3	-2	-1 or 0	+1 or +2
Consistency	Firm	Medium	Soft	
Cervical position	Posterior	Mid-position	Anterior	

Cervical Length

Cervical length may predict the success of spontaneous onset of labor post-term. This has been evaluated in various studies by sonography. However, outcomes revealed sonographic cervical

length analysis to choke up compared with Bishop score for anticipating a successful induction [10].

Fetal Fibronectin

An elevated fetal fibronectin (FFN) concentration in cervicovaginal secretions has been made use of to forecast success of labor induction. An elevated FFN may be triggered by disruption or inflammation of the chorionicdecidual user interface. A prospective test ended that FFN does not predict vaginal delivery in nulliparous females [11]. Only obstetric history and digital exam forecasted properly vaginal delivery within 24 hours [12].

Cervical Ripening

Cervical ripening is an essential forecaster of labor end result. It is a complex chemical adjustment leading to physical softening and distensibility of the cervix, finally bring about thinning and dilatation of the cervix [13]. There is chemical dissolution of collagen fibrils of cervix, in addition to a boost in water material or swelling. These adjustments are caused by hormones (estrogen, progesterone, relaxin), as well as cytokines, prostaglandins and nitric oxide synthesis enzymes [14]. Approaches made use of for cervical ripening include mechanical and pharmacologic techniques.

Mechanical Methods. Mechanical methods of cervical ripening were amongst the very first methods utilized for labor induction and have been utilized for centuries. They are usually less costly, lead to much less hyperstimulation, are simple to store and may cause less side impacts for mother and fetus are the benefits [15]. Threat of infection, disturbance of a low-lying placenta and some maternal pain on control of the cervix are some downsides.

Membrane Stripping. Stripping or sweeping of the fetal membranes is digital splitting up of the chorioamniotic membrane layer from the wall surface of the cervix and lower uterine sector. This creates the launch of endogenous prostaglandins from the adjacent membranes and decidua, as well as from the cervix. Numerous studies have performed regular membrane stripping at 38 or 39 weeks to either prevent long term or post-term pregnancies [16]. Issues consist of rupture of membranes, hemorrhage from disruption of an occult placenta previa and the growth of chorioamnionitis.

Mechanical Dilators. Mechanical dilators consist of hygroscopic dilators (laminaria or lamicel), balloon (Foley catheter) and balloon with extra-amniotic saline infusion (EASI).

Hygroscopic Dilators. Cervical dilators are made from organic algae (laminaria) or artificial hydrophilic products (lamicel polyvinyl alcohol polymer). They are introduced into the cervical canal and left in situ for 6-12 hours where they boost in size due to their hydrophilic buildings, achieving a gradual stretching, dilatation and effacement of the cervix. In the last twenty years, there has been a reduction in making use of hygroscopic and osmotic dilators for the induction of labor in favor of the mechanical and pharmacologic representatives. Threat of mother's and fetal infections with hygroscopic and osmotic dilators is a lot more as compared with the use of various other pharmacologic agents [17]. They are contraindicated in situations of ruptured membranes. Placement of dilators also calls for additional training and could be related to rupture of membrane layers, vaginal bleeding and patient pain or discomfort.

Extra-amniotic Balloon and Extra-amniotic Saline Infusion. The Foley catheter affects cervical ripening in two ways: Gradual dilatation and splitting up of the deciduas from the amnion stimulating prostaglandin release. Foley catheters of size 14-26 F with rising cost of living quantity of 30-80 mL, and the EASI with mixture rates of 30-40 mL/hour have been shown to be

risk-free and effective. The benefits of Foley catheter when compared to prostaglandins include reduced expense, security at space temperature level, lowered danger of uterine tachysystole with or without fetal heart rate (FHR) modifications, and applicability in an outpatient setup. It seems that higher insufflations volumes (80 mL) may be much more effective than lower volumes (30 mL) [18], [19]. The concomitant use oxytocin with Foley catheter does not seem to reduce the duration of labor [20].

- **Surgical Method of Induction**

Amniotomy

Amniotomy, artificial rupture of membranes, is a procedure brought out by iatrogenic rupture of the chorioamniotic membranes by either toothed clamp (Allis or Kocher's clamp) or multiple punctures with some pointed framework like 26-gauge needle. It is generally executed in multiparous females with desirable Bishop score with success. However, to reduce the danger of cord prolapse, fetal vertex must not be drifting and be well-applied to the cervix. The FHR should be analyzed before and after the treatment, and the personality and color of the amniotic fluid need to be videotaped. The concomitant use of amniotomy and intravenous (IV) oxytocin is a lot more effective contrasted with amniotomy alone, with most females delivering vaginally within 24 hours[27].

- **Pharmacologic Techniques**

Prostaglandins

As stated previously in mechanism of cervical ripening, prostaglandins act upon cervix by dissolution of collagen fibrils and a boost in water content of the cervix. Also, prostaglandins raise intracellular calcium degrees, triggering myometrial contractions. Prostaglandins are already

found in the myometrium, deciduas and fetal membranes while pregnant. Initially offered by intramuscular and oral routes, nowadays regionally used prostaglandins, vaginally or intracervically, are the paths of choice since of patient acceptability with less side results. Adverse effects include fever, chills, vomiting and diarrhea, etc. Overall, induction with prostaglandins was linked with a rise in effective vaginal delivery within 24 hrs, a reduction in the rate of cesarean shipment and a rise in the danger of uterine tachysystole with FHR modifications [26]. Prostaglandins should not be used in ladies with a previous cesarean delivery or myomectomy as a result of a raised danger of uterine rupture. Uterine activity and FHR surveillance should be maintained after administration of prostaglandins for cervical ripening [6].

PGE2 Dinoprostone

Regional application of prostaglandin E2 (PGE2) is typically used for cervical ripening. Its gel type (Prepidil) is readily available in a 2.5 mL syringe having 0.5 mg of dinoprostone. With the lady supine, the suggestion of pre-filled syringe is placed intracervically to transfer the gel just below the internal cervical os. After management, she continues to be supine for at the very least 30 minutes. Doses could be repeated every 6 hours with an optimum of 2 doses in 24 hrs advised. A 10 mg dinoprostone vaginal insert (Cervidil) is additionally accepted for cervical ripening. This is a thin, flat, rectangle-shaped polymeric wafer held within a tiny, white mesh polyester cavity with lengthy connected tail. It provides slower release of drug (0.3 mg/hr). It is utilized as a single dosage put transversely in posterior vaginal fornix. Following insertion, a female must stay supine for a minimum of 2 hours. The insert is eliminated after 12 hrs or with labor onset. These 2 preparations are costly, and require refrigerated storage to continue to be stable.

- **Complications Associated with Induction of Labor**

Uterine Overactivity

This is one of the most regularly come across complication of oxytocin or prostaglandin management. The most generally utilized terms to explain are hyperstimulation, tachysystole and hypertonus. The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG) offers the following definitions:

- Tachysystole could be defined as a persistent pattern of ≥ 5 contractions in 10 minutes.
- Hypertonus is referred to as a single contraction lasting longer compared to 2 mins.
- Hyperstimulation is described as tachysystole or hypertonus associated with FHR abnormalities [27].

Among the benefits of oxytocin administration is that if uterine hyperstimulation is noticed, the infusion can rapidly be stopped. This usually causes the resolution of such uterine overactivity. Additionally, positioning the female in the left lateral position, administering oxygen and IV fluids may be of advantage. If FHR tracing problems linger and uterine hyperstimulation is ongoing, the use of a tocolytic such as terbutaline might be thought about.

Failed Induction

There are presently no criteria for a failed induction. The obstetrician should comprehend that cervical ripening itself can take some time, and that the establishment of an active labor is essential to label labor as unsuccessful induction. A research study concluded that 40% of the women who continued to be in the latent phase after 12 hrs of oxytocin and membrane rupture were supplied vaginally. Therefore, it is essential not to label labor induction a failing in the latent phase up until oxytocin has been carried out for at the very least 12 hours after membrane rupture [28]. Stopped working induction is not necessarily an indicator for cesarean section.

Various other choices include a more attempt to generate labor (the timing ought to depend on the scientific circumstance and the patient's wishes) or waiting on spontaneous labor.

Cesarean Section

Increasing incidence of labor induction has contributed to the increasing cesarean area rate. Compared with spontaneous start of delivery, induction of labor is related to a raised danger for emergency situation cesarean section both amongst nulliparous and multiparous females [29], [30], [31].

Greater Need for Pain Relief

Induced labor significantly differs from the physiological spontaneous start labor, with a longer and typically painful latent stage. Prostaglandins may be connected with significant discomfort. Straightforward analgesia may suffice, yet some women will certainly need stronger opiate/epidural analgesia.

Uterine Rupture

Uterine rupture is rare and in most instances happens in females with prior uterine surgery such as cesarean delivery or myomectomy. Various other danger factors are grand multiparity, significant uterine overdistension either with a macrosomic fetus, multiple gestation, polyhydramnios, or fetal malpresentation. The majority of research studies recommend that making use of oxytocin for labor induction or augmentation is not related to a substantial boost in the danger of uterine rupture in ladies with a previous cesarean delivery. The ACOG states that the usage of misoprostol in women with previous cesarean shipment or significant uterine surgery has been related to a rise in uterine rupture and, as a result, ought to be avoided in the 3rd trimester.

Conclusion:

Elective IOL should only be performed in rare or extraordinary situations, only when the mother has completed 39 weeks of pregnancy, and only when it would be beneficial to the mother and not cause damage to the newborn. IOL should not be performed for reasons of convenience for the provider. Complying with evidence-based medical indications and the diagnostic requirements for illness conditions are paramount to reducing the nation's high IOL rate. Elective labor inductions must rarely be performed, and pregnancy care providers are encouraged to work with institutional quality teams to remove elective IOL before 39 weeks' pregnancy. Difficult stops for elective IOL with use organizing kinds, checklists, and written informed consent are recommended evidence-based methods. Midwives and other maternity care providers could favorably affect the rate of IOL by supplying culturally sensitive and motivational counseling on health promotion and illness prevention. The need to promote health literacy and healthy way of lives is a fundamental part of midwives' specialist mission. Additionally, educating females about the lifetime favorable effects of physiologic birth practices on the maternal infant dyad can affect the female's desire towards patience and rely on her ability to deliver without unnecessary intervention.

Reference:

1. Sanchez-Ramos, L, Kaunitz A. Induction of labor. Glob Libr Women's Med. (ISSN: 1756-2228) 2009; DOI 10.3843/GLOWM.10130
2. Thomas R. observations on the caesarean section and on other obstetrics complications. Manchester: Bradley's Library Oxford; 1865.
3. WHO recommendations for induction of labour. World Health Organization: Geneva; 2011.

4. Caughey AB, Sundaram V, Kaimal AJ, Cheng YW, Gienger A, Little SE, et al. Maternal and neonatal outcomes of elective induction of labor. Evidence report/technology assessment no.176. AHRQ Publication no.09-E005. Rockville (MD): Agency for Health Research and Quality; 2009.
5. WHO Global Survey on Maternal and Perinatal Health. Induction of labour data. World Health Organization: Geneva; 2010.
6. ACOG Committee on Practice Bulletins - Obstetrics. ACOG Practice Bulletin No. 107: Induction of labor. *Obstet Gynecol* 2009;114(2 Pt 1):386-97.
7. ACOG Practice Bulletin No. 97: Fetal lung maturity. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. *Obstet Gynecol* 2008;112(3):717-26.
8. Bishop EH. Pelvic scoring for elective induction. *Obstet Gynecol* 1964;24:266-8.
9. Brenndand JE, Calder AA. Labor and normal delivery: induction of labor. *Curr Opin Obstet Gynecol* 1991;3(6): 764-8.
10. Hatfield AS, Sanchez-Ramos L, Kaunitz AM. Sonographic cervical assessment to predict the success of labor induction: a systematic review with metaanalysis. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2007;197(2):186-92.
11. Sciscione A, Hoffman MK, DeLuca S, O'Shea A, Benson J, Pollock M, et al. Fetal fibronectin as a predictor of vaginal birth in nulliparas undergoing preinduction cervical ripening. *Obstet Gynecol* 2005;106(5 Pt 1):980-5.
12. Reis FM, Gervasi MT, Florio P, Bracalente G, Fadalti M, Severi FM, et al. Prediction of successful induction of labor at term: role of clinical history, digital examination, ultrasound assessment of the cervix, and fetal fibronectin assay. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2003;189(5):1361-7.
13. Maul H, Mackay L, Garfield RE. Cervical ripening: biochemical, molecular, and clinical considerations. *Clin Obstet Gynecol* 2006;49(3):551-63.
14. Jozwiak M, Bloemenkamp KW, Kelly AJ, Mol BW, Irion O, Bouvain M. Mechanical methods for induction of labour. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2012;3:CD001233.
15. Mitchell MD, Flint AP, Bibby J, Brunt J, Arnold JM, Anderson AB, et al. Rapid increases in plasma prostaglandin concentrations after vaginal examination and amniotomy. *Br Med J* 1977;2(6096):1183-5.
16. Andersen BB, Knudsen B, Lyndrup J, Fælling AE, Illum D, Johansen M, et al. Acupuncture and/or sweeping of the fetal membranes before induction of labor: a prospective, randomized, controlled trial. *J Perinat Med* 2013;41(5): 555-60.
17. Gelber S, Sciscione A. Mechanical methods of cervical ripening and labor induction. *Clin Obstet Gynecol* 2006;49(3):642-57.
18. Kashanian M, Nazemi M, Malakzadegan A. Comparison of 30-mL and 80-mL Foley catheter balloons and oxytocin for preinduction cervical ripening. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet* 2009;105(2):174-5.
19. Delaney S, Shaffer BL, Cheng YW, Vargas J, Sparks TN, Paul K, et al. Labor induction with a Foley balloon inflated to 30 mL compared with 60 mL: a randomized controlled trial. *Obstet Gynecol* 2010;115(6):1239-45.

20. Pettker CM, Pocock SB, Smok DP, Lee SM, Devine PC. Transcervical Foley catheter with and without oxytocin for cervical ripening: a randomized controlled trial. *Obstet Gynecol* 2008;111(6):1320-6.
21. Carbone JF, Tuuli MG, Fogertey PJ, Roehl KA, Macones GA. Combination of Foley bulb and vaginal misoprostol compared with vaginal misoprostol alone for cervical ripening and labor induction: a randomized controlled trial. *Obstet Gynecol* 2013;121(2 Pt 1):247-52.
22. Wei G, Bull H, Zhou X, Tabel H. Intradermal infections of mice by low numbers of African trypanosomes are controlled by innate resistance but enhance susceptibility to reinfection. *J Infect Dis* 2011;203(3):418-29.
23. Jozwiak M, Bloemenkamp KW, Kelly AJ, Mol BW, Irion O, Bouvain M. Mechanical methods for induction of labour. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2012;(3):CD001233.
24. Maslovitz S, Lessing JB, Many A. Complications of transcervical Foley catheter for labor induction among 1,083 women. *Arch Gynecol Obstet* 2010;281(3):473-7.
25. Howarth GR, Botha DJ. Amniotomy plus intravenous oxytocin for induction of labour. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2001;(3):CD003250.
26. Kelly AJ, Kavanagh J, Thomas J. Vaginal prostaglandin (PGE2 and PGF2a) for induction of labour at term. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2003;(4):CD003101.
27. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists: Induction of labour. Practice Bulletin No.10, November 1999a.
28. Rouse DJ, Weiner SJ, Bloom SL, Varner MW, Spong CY, Ramin SM, et al; Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (NICHD) Maternal-Fetal Medicine Units Network (MFMU). Failed labor induction: toward an objective diagnosis. *Obstet Gynecol* 2011;117(2 Pt 1):267-72.
29. Jonsson M, Cnattingius S, Wikström AK. Elective induction of labor and the risk of cesarean section in lowrisk parous women: a cohort study. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand* 2013;92(2):198-203
30. Ehrenthal DB, Jiang X, Strobino DM. Labor induction and the risk of a cesarean delivery among nulliparous women at term *Obstet Gynecol* 2010;116(1):35-42.
31. Vardo JH, Thornburg LL, Glantz JC. Maternal and neonatal morbidity among nulliparous women undergoing elective induction of labor. *J Reprod Med* 2011;56(1-2):25-30.